

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR P1194 US 4571 12/10/2001 Mehrdad Ziari 10/016,473 **EXAMINER** 27975 7590 12/09/2003 ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. STRECKER, GERARD R 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791 2862

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,473	ZIARI ET AL.	
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	0./
	Gerard Strecker	2862	AW
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the friod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 September 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-54 and 68 is/are pending in the 4a). Of the above claim(s) is/are with</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 22-54 and 68 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 16-21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3-15 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority docum	nents have been received. Itents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Itst of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.Ce first sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.Ce	Application No In received in this National Solution received.  C. § 119(e) (to a provisional solution or in an Application libeen received.  C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(</li> </ol>	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO	

Application/Control Number: 10/016,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

Claims 1, 2 and 16-21 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakaguchi et al (4,523,802), hereinafter Sakaguchi, in view of Jin et al (6,205,364), hereinafter Jin, or Auracher et al (6,271,049), hereinafter Auracher.

Sakaguchi discloses (Figs. 3-5) a fiber-coupled laser optical connection module for attaching an optical component to a substrate and aligning said optical component with a laser, comprising: a substrate 5; a fiber submount 8 that is attached to said substrate and that includes a thermally insulating material (silica glass, col. 3, lines 8-10) having a thickness h3 greater than 20 micrometers (col. 3, lines 18-20); an optical component (optical fiber 3) that is soldered (col. 2, lines 3-6 and col. 4, lines 66-68) to said fiber submount using heat; a laser submount (2, or 2, 9) made of diamond attached to said substrate; and a laser 1 attached to said laser submount and aligned with said optical component. Sakaguchi does not reveal how the heat is applied to solder the fiber to the submount.

Jin discloses (Figs. 1A, 1B) an optical connection module comprising: a substrate 18, a submount (14, 17; 20) attached to said substrate; an optical component (13, 19) soldered to said submount; a laser submount (12) attached to said substrate; and a first laser (11) attached to said laser submount. As shown in Fig. 1B (col. 4, lines 49-54) the optical component can be soldered using a heat source such as a laser (which would be a second laser).

Auracher discloses an optical connection module (Figs. 1 and 2) in which optical components (9, 10, e.g.) are soldered to a submount (2) using the heat supplied from a laser (col. 2, lines 40-46 and col. 5, lines 23-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,473 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ a laser in conjunction with the module of Sakaguchi for soldering the fiber to the submount, as taught by Jin and Auracher, to provide a rapid, cost effective and efficient technique for carrying out the soldering function.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/26/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that, "it is not appropriate to combine the teachings of Sakaguchi with the teachings of either Jin et al or Auracher et al, because Sakaguchi et al teach away from the instant invention as defined in claim 1. More specifically, at column 4, lines 66-68, and column 5, line 1, Sakaguchi et al specify that 'the solder is melted by heating the coupling system as a whole'. Heating the coupling system as a whole defeats the unforseen advantages of providing a fiber submount having a thermally insulating material with a thickness greater than 20 micrometers and an optical component that is soldered to said fiber submount using heat from a second laser, as defined in currently amended claim 1. For example, one unforseen advantage is that the resulting optical connection module includes an insulating portion to protect the first laser from heat from the second laser. Heating the coupling system as a whole would damage the first laser, in direct contrast to the instant invention."

Although Sakaguchi melts the solder by heating the coupling system as a whole, there is nothing which would prevent the heat to be locally supplied for soldering the optical component to the submount, if so desired. By using a laser (second laser), in the process of constructing Sakaguchi's connection module, to supply local heating for soldering the optical component to the submount, as taught by Jin and Auracher, direct damage to the laser (first laser) attached to

Application/Control Number: 10/016,473

Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

the laser submount would be avoided and the heating would be more specifically and effectively directed, thus optimizing the overall attachment process. Obviously, any available known form of solder composition (claim 17) could be selected for soldering the optical component to the submount.

Claims 3-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to G. R. Strecker at telephone number 305-4937.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,473

Art Unit: 2862

Strecker/ek

12/08/03

Page 5

Skraw R. Strecker GERARD R. STRECKER PRIMARY EXAMINER